







## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,347	08/28/2001	Manu Ghela	GHEL-0312	9180
75	12/15/2003		EXAMINER	
Kenneth A. Nelson Schmeiser, Olsen & Watts LLP 18 East University Drive, #101			MARKS, CHRISTINA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Mesa, AZ 852			3713 DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	, 10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ブピ
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/941,347	GHELA, MANU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	C. Marks	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 O	October 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal mat Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	ters, prosecution as to the merit D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)</li></ul>	wn from consideration.	on requirement	
Application Papers		m requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not c priority under 35 U.S.C. st sentence of the specific evisional application has be c priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Speen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a spec	cation) Sheet. cific
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/941,347

Art Unit: 3713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Lottery game with the option of tax insured tickets. Support for Species I can be found on page 8, lines 14-24 and page 9, lines 1-5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 13 and 25 are restricted to Species I.

Species II: Lottery game with the option of payout insured tickets. Support for Species II can be found on page 7, lines 18-24 and page 8, lines 1-5 of the disclosure of the disclosure. Claims 11, 16-18 and 20 are restricted to Species II.

Species III: Lottery game with the option of combination (payout and tax) insurance. Support for Species III can be found in FIG 2. Claims 12, 14, 19 and 21-24 are restricted to Species III.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Due to the number of species presented in this restriction, a telephone attempt has not made because it has been determined a written restriction more readily identifies the issue of restriction at hand.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Marks whose telephone number is (703)-305-7497. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:30AM - 5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa J Walberg can be reached on (703)-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1148.

cmm

December 9, 2003

MICHAEL O'NEILL

MICHAEL O'NEILL

EXAMINER